# Roles of alumina in zirconia-based solid electrolyte

# XIN GUO\*, RUNZHANG YUAN

State Key Laboratory for Synthesis and Processing of Advanced Materials, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, Hubei Province 430070, People's Republic of China

Up to 5 mol % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was added to 9 mol %  $Y_2O_3$ -stabilized ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and the roles of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were systematically studied by means of the complex impedance approach, the positron annihilation technique, SEM, TEM, and electron probe microanalysis from the following aspects: (1) the existence of forms of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in ZrO<sub>2</sub>, (2) the effects of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on the microstructure of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, (3) the effects of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on the resistance of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, (4) the microstructure and property changes of ZrO<sub>2</sub> with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition during ageing at 940 °C. Two types of grain boundaries can promote the mobility of the grain boundaries and thus results in a low density, because of entrapped pores. The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition decreases the grain-boundary phases with very high crystal defect concentrations. Ordered microdomains of Zr<sub>3</sub>Y<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> were precipitated from ZrO<sub>2</sub> grains during ageing, and aluminium was found to facilitate the precipitation.

#### 1. Introduction

Cubic  $ZrO_2$  has good oxygen ion conductivity at temperatures above 600 °C, and has been widely used in oxygen sensors and fuel cells as a solid electrolyte [1]. In these applications, a certain mechanical strength and impermeability are often required of the ZrO<sub>2</sub>-based solid electrolyte. In order to enhance the densification of ZrO<sub>2</sub> during sintering, a certain amount of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is added to ZrO<sub>2</sub> [2]. After further studies, it was discovered that Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can also decrease the resistance of ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Miyayama et al. [3] have studied 8 mol %  $Y_2O_3$ -stabilized ZrO<sub>2</sub> with the addition of 0-1.0 mol % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and found that the ZrO<sub>2</sub> resistance increases with increasing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> concentration up to 0.6 mol %, but decreases when the  $Al_2O_3$ concentration was > 0.6 mol %. Much research has been done on the roles of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in ZrO<sub>2</sub>, but results are often contradictory [4-6]. In the present work, the  $Al_2O_3$  addition was increased up to 5 mol%, and the roles of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the ZrO<sub>2</sub>-based solid electrolyte were systematically studied from the following aspects: (1) the forms of  $Al_2O_3$  existing in  $ZrO_2$ , (2) the effects of  $Al_2O_3$  on the microstructure of  $ZrO_2$ , (3) the effects of  $Al_2O_3$  on the resistance of  $ZrO_2$ , and (4) the microstructure and property changes of ZrO<sub>2</sub> with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition during ageing.

# 2. Experimental procedure

#### 2.1. Preparation of specimens

The compositions of specimens are listed in Table I. Powders used to produce the specimens were pre-

\*Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

pared from ZrOCl<sub>2</sub>·8H<sub>2</sub>O, YCl<sub>3</sub> and AlCl<sub>3</sub> by a coprecipitation method, and the average particle sizes as measured by a centrifugal particle size analyser (type SA-CP3) were 0.45, 0.40 and 0.48  $\mu$ m, respectively. The powders were subsequently pressed into pellets (22 mm diameter, 4 mm thick) at 200 MPa, then sintered at 1520, 1540, 1580, and 1600 °C for 2 h. Platinum electrodes were applied to the ZrO<sub>2</sub> specimens by the decomposition of chloroplatinic acid at 1000 °C.

#### 2.2. Measurement and analyses

The specimens were subjected to the following measurements and analyses. (1) Bulk densities were recorded by buoyancy measurements. (2) Average grain-size measurements were obtained from the scanning electron micrographs of the surfaces of as-sintered specimens, and calculation of the grain size was based on a method described by Fullman [7]. (3) Resistances were measured by the complex impedance approach in the frequency range 20 Hz–1 MHz with a HP4285A precision LCR meter, and the grain-boundary resistances were separated out by analysis of the

TABLE I Composition of specimens

Specimen	Composition
0AYZ 1.5AYZ 5AYZ	$ \begin{array}{c} ZrO_2-9 \ mol \ \% \ Y_2O_3 \\ ZrO_2-9 \ mol \ \% \ Y_2O_3-1.5 \ mol \ \% \ Al_2O_3 \\ ZrO_2-9 \ mol \ \% \ Y_2O_3-5 \ mol \ \% \ Al_2O_3 \end{array} $



Figure 1 Scanning electron micrographs of the surfaces of as-sintered specimens. (a) OAYZ, (b) 1.5AYZ, (c) 5AYZ, (d) 1.5AYZ, polished surface.

complex plots. (4) Aluminium distribution information inside the specimens was examined by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA, type JCXA-733) on the specimens polished and coated with carbon. (5) Microstructure studies were carried out by SEM (type JSM-35C) and TEM (type H-800, Philips-CM12/STEM). (6) Crystal defect changes were detected by the positron annihilation technique (Canberra Series 35).

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Forms of $AI_2O_3$ in $ZrO_2$

The solubility of  $Al_2O_3$  in  $ZrO_2$  grains is very low; only 0.5 mol %  $Al_2O_3$  can be dissolved in  $Y_2O_3$ stabilized  $ZrO_2$  sintered at 1700 °C and cooled at 220 °C h<sup>-1</sup> [3], and the solubility of  $Al_2O_3$  is about 0.1 mol % when sintered at 1300 °C [5]. It is thus obvious that the  $Al_2O_3$  additions in this work are far beyond the  $Al_2O_3$  solubility.

# 3.1.1. SEM

Fig. 1 shows scanning electron micrographs of the surfaces of the as-sintered specimens 0AYZ, 1.5AYZ, and 5AYZ, and the polished surface of the specimen 1.5AYZ. The specimen 0AYZ has a homogeneous

monophase structure, while  $Al_2O_3$  particles can be observed as bright or dark spots in specimens 1.5AYZ and 5AYZ; the  $Al_2O_3$  particles are situated both interand intragranularly. Because there are quite large differences in the elastic modulus and the thermal expansion coefficient between  $Al_2O_3$  and  $ZrO_2$ , the intragranular  $Al_2O_3$  particles are always accompanied by pores, which can be seen from the polished surface.

#### 3.1.2. EPMA

Fig. 2 shows the EPMA analysis results; (a) and (c) are scattered electron images, and (b) and (d) show the aluminium distributions. From these photographs, it can be seen that aluminium is mainly segregated at grain boundaries, and the aluminium segregation is more serious in specimen 5AYZ. Some small dark spots inside the grains shown in the scattered electron images are  $Al_2O_3$  particles and pores. No apparent enrichment of silicon or calcium at grain boundaries was observed.

#### 3.1.3. TEM

Because of the segregation of  $Al_2O_3$  and impurities at grain boundaries, grain-boundary phases were often formed. Two types of grain-boundary phase were



Figure 2 EPMA photographs of specimens with different Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content. (a, b) 1.5AYZ, (c, d) 5AYZ.



Figure 3 Transmission electron micrograph of crystal grain-boundary phases. (a) Bright-field image, (b) SADP. The arrows indicate the grain-boundary phases.

discovered in specimens with  $Al_2O_3$  addition, one type is shown in Fig. 3. The small bright particle situated at the triple point of  $ZrO_2$  grains is an  $Al_2O_3$  particle (Fig. 3a); the grain-boundary phases have a peculiar morphology. Fig. 3b is the SADP of the grain-boundary phases; the diffraction rings indicate that the grain-boundary phases consist of large amounts of crystallites. The ratio of the square radii of the diffraction rings are

$$R_1^2: R_2^2: R_3^2: R_4^2: R_5^2: R_6^2 \approx 1:2:3:6:7:9$$
(1)

According to the ratio and brightness distribution of the diffraction rings, these crystallites do not have the crystal structure of  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nor cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub>; because of the large errors usually involved in electron diffractions, it is still difficult to determine the crystal

TABLE II Composition of crystal grain-boundary phases measured by EDAX

Element	wt %	at %	
Al	2.62	8.29	
Y	15.76	15.17	
Zr	81.62	76.54	

structure of the crystallites. The composition of the grain-boundary phases measured by EDAX is given in Table II; enrichment of aluminium was found, and no impurities such as silicon or calcium were detected. However, for the crystal grain-boundary phases in different regions of the specimen, different SADP patterns were produced and different aluminium, yttrium and zirconium concentrations are measured by EDAX. The phases may be concluded to be a kind of aluminium-rich compound with a chemical formula  $(Al_2O_3)_x(Y_2O_3)_y(ZrO_2)_z$  (x + y + z = 1). The number of crystal grain-boundary phases is quite large; they are distributed at grain boundaries and  $Al_2O_3/ZrO_2$  interfaces.

Another type of grain-boundary phase is shown in Fig. 4, this type of grain-boundary phase is rarely found in the specimens, they are distributed at triple points (Fig. 4a) and  $Al_2O_3/ZrO_2$  interfaces (Fig. 4b). The SADP of the grain-boundary phases (Fig. 4c) indicates that they are amorphous, and corresponding EDAX measurement (Table III) reveals impurities silicon and calcium, besides the enrichment of aluminium. The grain boundaries without amorphous phases are quite clean; no impurities such as silicon and calcium are present there.

According to the above analyses, we can conclude that three forms of  $Al_2O_3$  in  $ZrO_2$ , exist; they are: (1) a very small amount of  $Al_2O_3$  dissolved in  $ZrO_2$  grains, (2) the surplus  $Al_2O_3$  then forms  $Al_2O_3$  particles, which are extensively situated inter- and intragranularly, and (3)  $Al_2O_3$  segregates at grain boundaries, and forms the crystals and the amorphous aluminium-rich grain-boundary phases, the amorphous one containing impurities such as silicon and calcium.

# 3.2. Effects of $Al_2O_3$ on the microstructure of $ZrO_2$

It is generally accepted that  $Al_2O_3$  can enhance the densification of  $ZrO_2$ . According to Radford and Bratton [2],  $Al_2O_3$  formed liquid phase at grain boundaries, but Bernard [5] found no evidence for liquid-phase formation during sintering at 1300 °C;

TABLE III Composition of amorphous grain-boundary phases measured by EDAX

Element	wt %	Oxide (%)	
 Al	9.26	17.50	
Si	29.40	62.91	
Ca	8.00	11.20	
Y	4.43	5.63	
Zr	2.48	3.35	





Figure 4 Transmission electron micrographs of amorphous grainboundary phases. (a, b) Bright-field image, (c) SADP. The arrows indicate the grain-boundary phases.

the added  $Al_2O_3$  was present principally as secondphase particles, which pin grain boundaries, and a fine grain size and densified microstructure resulted. However, the results in this work prove that  $Al_2O_3$  improves the mobility of grain boundaries, and that the fast-moving grain boundaries entrap pores in grains resulting in low densities.

#### 3.2.1. Bulk density

The bulk densities of the specimens sintered at various temperatures are shown in Fig. 5. Specimen 0AYZ attains high density when sintered at 1540°C, but specimen 1.5AYZ can only attain an equivalent density when sintered at 1600 °C. The densities of specimen 5AYZ are relatively low, indicating that  $Al_2O_3$  lowers the sintering density of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and the effect of  $Al_2O_3$  is more serious with increasing  $Al_2O_3$  content.

#### 3.2.2. Average grain size

The average grain-size measurement results are plotted in Fig. 6. Three features can be seen from Fig. 6: (1) specimen 0AYZ always has the smallest average grain size, notwithstanding sintering temperatures; (2) the average grain size of specimen 1.5AYZ increases dramatically with increasing sintering temperature, (3) specimen 5AYZ has large average grain size when sintered at low temperature, and the grains grow very slowly when the sintering temperature is increased. This fact indicates that  $Al_2O_3$  can promote grain growth.

#### 3.2.3. Discussion

In the  $ZrO_2$  system, the control step of densification is the bulk diffusion of  $Zr^{4+}$  which is affected by the



Figure 5 Bulk densities of specimens sintered at various temperatures. 1, OAYZ; 2, 1.5AYZ; 3, 5AYZ.



Figure 6 Average grain sizes of specimens sintered at various temperatures. 1, OAYZ; 2, 1.5AYZ; 3, 5AYZ.

defect structure of  $ZrO_2$  [8, 9]. However, the defect structure of  $ZrO_2$  is essentially controlled by dopant, because the dopant is dissolved in  $ZrO_2$  in large amounts [9]. The solubility of  $Al_2O_3$  in  $ZrO_2$  is very small, so the effect of  $Al_2O_3$  on the defect structure is negligible, thus  $Al_2O_3$  has virtually no effect on the densification of  $ZrO_2$ , so the reason why the specimens with  $Al_2O_3$  additions have lower densities may be that  $ZrO_2$  grains grow too fast. In the  $ZrO_2$  system, the grain-growth mechanism is surface diffusion [8, 9].

The  $Al_2O_3$  addition has a dual effect on grain growth. First, the intergranular  $Al_2O_3$  particles pin grain boundaries, which can be seen from the fact that the grains of specimen 5AYZ grow slowly with increasing sintering temperature, and the fact that specimen 5AYZ has a much smaller grain size than specimen, 1.5AYZ when sintered at 1600 °C. Because of the pinning of the grain boundaries by the intergranular  $Al_2O_3$  particles, specimen 5AYZ can eliminate more pores, so the density is greatly increased after sintering at 1600 °C.

Second, the  $Al_2O_3$  segregated at grain boundaries can improve the mobility of the boundaries. This is explained below. The velocity of a grain boundary without any pores is

$$V_{\rm b} = F_{\rm b} M_{\rm b} \tag{2}$$

where  $F_b$  is the grain-boundary movement driving force which is determined by the curvature of the grain boundary [10]

$$F_{\rm b} = \frac{2\gamma_{\rm b}\Omega}{\omega R} \tag{3}$$

where  $M_b$  is the mobility of the grain boundary, which is given by

$$M_{\rm b} = \frac{D}{kT} \tag{4}$$

 $\gamma_b$  is the grain-boundary energy,  $\Omega$  is the atomic volume,  $\omega$  is the grain-boundary thickness, R is the curvature of the grain boundary, D is the grain-boundary diffusion coefficient, and k is Boltzmann's constant. Then the grain-boundary velocity is

$$V_{\rm b} = \frac{2D\gamma_{\rm b}\Omega}{kT\omega R} \tag{5}$$

The  $Al_2O_3$  segregation at grain boundaries may increase the grain-boundary diffusion coefficient, *D*, thus  $V_b$  is increased. The above experimental results demonstrate that the sum of these two effects of  $Al_2O_3$  is to promote the grain growth.

Pores should be eliminated as much as possible in order to obtain a densified microstructure. Alexander and Baluffi [11] were the first to observe that pores entrapped inside grains are remarkably stable, and that the only pores to disappear are those intersected by grain boundaries. The mobility of a pore is given by [12]

$$M_{\rm p} = \frac{D_{\rm s} \delta \Omega}{k T \pi r^4} \tag{6}$$

where  $D_s$  is the surface diffusion coefficient,  $\delta$  is the thickness of surface diffusion layer, r is the radius of the pore. Like the grain-boundary diffusion coefficient, D,  $D_s$  may also be increased because of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> segregation, thus pore movement is also accelerated. When the rapid movement of grain boundaries does not match that of pores, the pores are entrapped inside grains; this is the reason why the specimens with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition have lower densities. The rapidly moving grain boundaries can also overcome the pinning of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles and entrap them inside grains, this phenomenon is clearly shown in Fig. 1.

3.3. Effect of  $Al_2O_3$  on the resistance of  $ZrO_2$  $Al_2O_3$  can decrease  $ZrO_2$  resistance; Butler and Drennan [13] suggested that this is because  $Al_2O_3$  acts as a scavenger for SiO<sub>2</sub> located at grain boundaries and  $Al_6Si_2O_{13}$  is formed. In the present work, the effects of  $Al_2O_3$  are reanalysed from the aspects of microstructure and crystal defects, and a new mechanism is proposed to explain the effects of  $Al_2O_3$ . The specimens used were all sintered at 1600 °C.

#### 3.3.1. Resistance

The complex impedance approach has been used extensively in the examination and development of solid electrolyte after the initial report by Bauerle [14]. This approach can effectively probe the grain resistance as well as the resistance across grain boundaries and electrode/electrolyte interface.

The resistances of the specimens were measured at 440 and 500 °C; the results are listed in Table IV. The grain resistance of specimen 0AYZ is smaller than those of specimens 1.5AYZ and 5AYZ, whereas the grain-boundary resistance of specimen 0AYZ is much higher than those of specimens 1.5AYZ and 5AYZ; specimen 5AYZ has the smallest grain-boundary resistance.

Activation energies for grain and grain-boundary resistance can be calculated from the Arrhenius equation

$$R = A \exp\left(E/kT\right) \tag{7}$$

where k and T have their usual meanings. The values are also listed in Table IV. Specimen 0AYZ has the smallest grain-resistance activation energy,  $E_g$ , but the largest grain-boundary resistance activation energy  $E_{gb}$ , and  $E_{gb}$  decreases with increasing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content.

TABLE IV Resistances and activation energies of the specimens

Specimen	Measured at 440 °C		Measured at 500 °C		Activation en- ergy (KJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
	$R_{\rm g}(\Omega)$	$R_{\rm gb}(\Omega)$	$R_{g}(\Omega)$	$R_{\rm gb}\left(\Omega\right)$	Eg	Egb
0AYZ	200	1400	100	300	52.9	117.6
1.5AYZ	700	215	195	50	97.5	111.3
5AYZ	600	175	175	50	94.0	95.6

The measurements of positron lifetime spectra were carried out at room temperature,  $21 \pm 1$  °C, by a fast-fast coincidence lifetime spectrometer with a resolution of 230 ps. A <sup>22</sup>NaCl positron source was used in the measurements. The normal specimensource-specimen sandwich arrangement was adopted. A total of 10<sup>6</sup> counts in each spectrum was collected. After substraction of the source and background contribution, all lifetime spectra were analysed with three components by the program POSITRONFIT EX-TENDED. Lifetimes  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  and their relative intensities  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ , were obtained (Table V). The long lifetime component  $\tau_3$ , whose intensity is about 1.4%, arises from the annihilation in the positron source and associated foils, and/or interfaces that are unavoidable with the sandwich arrangement, so  $\tau_3$  can be neglected. The short lifetime component,  $\tau_1$ , reflects the positron annihilation feature of perfect crystal, and  $\tau_2$  is the positron lifetime in crystal defects. According to the two-state trapping model [15], we can derive the positron annihilation rate K

$$K = I_2 \left/ \left( \frac{1}{\tau_1} - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right) \right. \tag{8}$$

The K values are listed in Table V. K can also be expressed as  $K = \mu c$ , where c is the concentration of defects,  $\mu$  is considered to be constant when the type or the structure of defects do not change, thus the change of K can roughly reflect the change of defect concentration. K increases when Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is added to ZrO<sub>2</sub>; this tendency is opposite to that of the grain-boundary resistance, in other words, with increasing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content, the defect concentrations of the specimens are increased, and consequently the resistances are decreased.

#### 3.3.3. Discussion

As shown in Table IV,  $Al_2O_3$  increases the grain resistance; this is because the interagranular  $Al_2O_3$ particles and the accompanying pores are insulating, and they are obstacles to the migration of oxygen ions. This also explains why specimen 0AYZ has the smallest grain-resistance activation energy.

There are two types of grain-boundary phase in  $ZrO_2$ , crystal and amorphous. Table IV shows that  $Al_2O_3$  decreases the grain-boundary resistance, this phenomenon may be explained by two mechanisms that are related to the two types of grain-boundary phase.

Mechanism I: the  $Al_2O_3$  addition scavenges  $SiO_2$ located at grain boundaries and results in a low grainboundary resistance; this is similar to Butler and

TABLE V Positron lifetime parameters

Specimen	τ <sub>1</sub> (ps)	τ <sub>2</sub> (ps)	τ <sub>3</sub> (ps)	<i>I</i> <sub>1</sub> (%)	I <sub>2</sub> (%)	I <sub>3</sub> (%)	<i>K</i> (μ s <sup>-1</sup> )
OAYZ	239	269	1802	90.5	8.2	1.3	38.3
1.5AYZ	205	353	1685	90.9	7.6	1.5	155.4
5AYZ	204	374	1806	92.0	6.9	1.2	153.7

Drennan's result [13]. The only difference from Butler and Drennan's experiment is that the specimens used in this paper contain CaO in addition to SiO<sub>2</sub>, according to the CaO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> phase diagram [16], CaO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>, with the concentration relation listed in Table III, will react with each other according to the following equation

$$CaO + Al_2O_3 + 2SiO_2 \rightarrow CaAl_2Si_2O_8 \qquad (9)$$

The impurities CaO, SiO<sub>2</sub> are transported by grainboundary diffusion to the triple points with high  $Al_2O_3$  concentration and the  $Al_2O_3$  particle surfaces, and by the above chemical reaction, the grain-boundary impurities are scavenged; as a result,  $E_{gb}$  is decreased.

Mechanism II: The crystal grain-boundary phases contain no CaO or SiO<sub>2</sub> so mechanism I cannot explain all the experimental phenomena. In addition, the positron annihilation results show that  $Al_2O_3$ increases the crystal-defect concentration in ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The defect can be roughly divided into two main parts: the defects in grains and those in the crystal grainboundary phases. The defects in grains are determined by the dopant  $Y_2O_3$ , and the  $Al_2O_3$  solubility in grains is very low, so the effect of  $Al_2O_3$  on the grain defects is negligible, indicating that the increased crystal-defect concentration is a result of the increasing of crystal defects in the crystal grain-boundary phases, and consequently the resistance of the crystal grainboundary phases is very low, so the grain-boundary resistance is subsequently decreased. Thus a new mechanism is derived as follows: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> segregates at grain boundaries, and forms crystal grain-boundary phases with very high defect concentration, so the grain-boundary resistance is decreased.

# 3.4. Microstructure and property changes of the ZrO<sub>2</sub> with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition during ageing

ZrO<sub>2</sub>-based solid electrolyte used in oxygen sensors or fuel cells must endure elevated temperature; this is somewhat like a high-temperature ageing process. High-temperature ageing can cause an increased  $ZrO_2$ resistance. Baukal has studied the ageing of Y2O3stabilized ZrO<sub>2</sub>[17], Hudson and Moseley investigated the ageing of CaO-stabilized  $ZrO_2$  [18], they all agreed that the increased resistance is due to an order-disorder transformation in grains, microdomains of Zr<sub>3</sub>Y<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub> or CaZrO<sub>9</sub> are precipitated, as sometimes is monoclinic ZrO<sub>2</sub>. We examined the ageing feature of the ZrO<sub>2</sub> with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition, and discusses the effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In experiments, specimens sintered at 1580 °C were used, and they were aged at 940 °C for up to 222 h. After ageing, the resistance and microstructure of the specimens were examined. The transformations of the grain-boundary phases during ageing are very complicated; when examining different specimens aged for the same period, different results are often obtained. For the sake of simplicity, we only deal with the changes in grains.

# 3.4.1. Resistance

The grain resistances of specimen 1.5AYZ measured at



Figure 7 Change of grain resistance of the specimen 1.5AYZ during ageing.

 $500 \,^{\circ}$ C are plotted against ageing time in Fig. 7. The grain resistance is gradually increased at the ageing stage of 0–48 h, and then remains principally constant.

#### 3.4.2. TEM

Fig. 8 shows the morphologies of the precipitates of specimen 1.5AYZ aged for 222 h and the corresponding SADPs. It can be seen from Fig. 8a that much fine dark phase is precipitated from the grain, the diffraction pattern in Fig. 8b contains three distinct groups,  $ZrO_2$  matrix diffraction spots  $[\bar{1} 1 3]_{\circ}$ ,  $ZrO_2$  matrix high-level Laue spots  $[\bar{1} 1 3]_{+1}$ , and superstructure spots. The superstructure spots are reflected from the dark precipitates, demonstrating that the precipitates are ordered microdomains; according to the SADP, the orientation relation between the microdomains and the matrix is

$$[113]_{Zro_2} \parallel [113]_p$$
 (10)

$$(\overline{4}\,2\,\overline{2})_{\mathbf{Zro}_2} \parallel (\overline{2}\,1\,\overline{1})_{\mathbf{p}} \tag{11}$$

Fig. 8d shows another kind of precipitate in the same specimen. Compared with that shown in Fig. 8a, the precipitates are quite large in size and in quantity; the corresponding SADP (Fig. 8e) is a diffraction ring pattern, indicating that the precipitates have lost the orientation relation with the matrix. The ratio of the square radii of the diffraction rings is

$$R_1^2: R_2^2: R_3^2: R_4^2; R_5^2 \approx 3:4:8:11:12$$
 (12)

thus the precipitates have fcc structure. After calculating the crystal-plane distances from the SADPs shown in Fig. 8b and e and consulting the JCPDS files, the precipitates are judged to be  $Zr_3Y_4O_{12}$ . Fig. 7 indicates that the precipitation of  $Zr_3Y_4O_{12}$  increases the grain resistance, and from the grain-resistance changing process, it can be inferred that the microdomains  $Zr_3Y_4O_{12}$  are precipitated at the ageing stage of 0–48 h. No monoclinic  $ZrO_2$  precipitates were discovered in the specimen. The composition of the region shown in Fig. 8d was measured by EDAX; a certain amount of aluminium and silicon was detected (see Table VI).

Fig. 9 shows the precipitates in the specimen 5AYZ and the corresponding SADP. The precipitates in the specimen 5AYZ are also large in size and quantity;







they have no orientation relation with the matrix. No such precipitate as that shown in Fig. 8a was discovered in specimen 5AYZ. The precipitates shown in Fig. 9a and that shown in Fig. 8d have two features in common: (1) they are all quite large in size and quantity, (2) they all have no orientation relation with





Figure 8 Transmission electron micrographs of precipitates in the grain of specimen 1.5AYZ. (a, d) Bright-field images; (b, c, e) SADP.

the matrix; so it can be concluded that aluminium can facilitate the precipitation and growth of  $Zr_3Y_4O_{12}$ .

# 4. Conclusions

1. The solubility of  $Al_2O_3$  in  $ZrO_2$  is very low; part of the surplus  $Al_2O_3$  forms  $Al_2O_3$  particles, another part segregates at grain boundaries and forms aluminium-rich grain-boundary phases. There are two types of grain boundary phase, i.e., crystal and amorphous; the amorphous one contains impurities of silicon and calcium.

TABLE VI Composition of the region shown in Fig. 8d measured by EDAX

Element	wt %	Oxide (%)	
Al	7.04	13.30 -	
Si	11.05	23.64	
Y	9.13	11.60	
Zr	38.59	52.13	
Si Y Zr	11.05 9.13 38.59	23.64 11.60 52.13	





Figure 9 Transmission electron micrographs of precipitates in the grain of specimen 5AYZ. (a) Bright-field image, (b) SADP.

2.  $Al_2O_3$  can remarkably promote the growth of  $ZrO_2$  grains; the pores are entrapped inside the grains, resulting in a low density.

3.  $Al_2O_3$  lowers the  $ZrO_2$  grain-boundary resistance in two ways: (i)  $Al_2O_3$  reacts with SiO<sub>2</sub> and CaO to scavenge the impurities from grain boundaries, and (ii)  $Al_2O_3$  segregated at grain boundaries forms crystal phases with a very high defect concentration.

4. The precipitation of  $Zr_3Y_4O_{12}$  from  $ZrO_2$  grains increases the grain resistance during ageing, and aluminium facilitates the precipitation.

#### References

- 1. R. STEVENS, "Zirconia and Zirconia Ceramics," 2nd Edn (Magnesium Elektron, 1986) p. 38.
- 2. K. C. RADFORD and R. J. BRATTON, J. Mater. Sci. 14 (1979) 59.
- 3. M. MIYAYAMA, H. YANAGIDA and A. ASADA, Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull. 64 (1986) 660.
- 4. N. M. BEEKMANS and L. HEYNE, *Electrochim. Acta* 21 (1976) 303.
- H. BERNARD, Rep. CEA-R-5090, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, CEN-Saclay, France, (1981) p. 117.
- M. J. VERKERK, A. J. A. WINNUBST and A. J. BURG-GRAAF, J. Mater. Sci. 17 (1982) 3113.
- 7. R. L. FULLMAN, J. Metals AIME Trans. 5 (1953) 447.
- P. J. JORGENSEN, in "Sintering and Related Phenomena", edited by G. C. Kuczynski, N. A. Hooton and C. F. Gibbon (Gordon Breach, New York, 1967) p. 401.
- 9. S. WU and R. J. BROOK, Solid State Ionics 14 (1984) 123.
- R. J. BROOK, in "Treatise on Materials Science and Technology", Vol. 9, edited by F. F. Wang (Academic Press, New York, 1976) p. 331.
- 11. B. H. ALEXANDER and R. W. BALUFFI, Acta Metall. 5 (1957) 666.
- 12. P. G. SHEWMON, Trans. AIME 230 (1964) 1134.
- 13. E. P. BUTLER and J. DRENNAN, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 65 (1982) 474.
- 14. J. E. BAUERLE, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 30 (1969) 2657.
- W. BRANDT and A. DUPASQUIER, "Positron Solid State Physics", (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983) pp. 24, 200.
- 16. G. GOTTWALD, K. FORKEL and F. G. WIHSMANN, Silikattechnik 36 (2) (1985) 49.
- 17. W. BAUKAL, Electrochim. Acta 14 (1969) 1071.
- 18. B. HUDSON and P. T. MOSELEY, J. Solid State Chem. 19 (1976) 383.

Received 16 November 1993 and accepted 16 June 1994